

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1 - 3. Cancelled

4. (Previously Amended) In a method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, the steps of: forming a pair of elongated tines which have front and rear surfaces and are disposed symmetrically about an axis, and using balancing masses on the front surface of one tine and the rear surface of the other tine to reduce quadrature displacement in the tines and maintain a balance in mass between the tines.

5. (Previously Amended) In a method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, the steps of: forming a pair of elongated tines which have front and rear surfaces and are disposed symmetrically about an axis, applying mass elements to the tines, and removing portions of the mass elements from the front surface of one tine and from the rear surface of the other to reduce quadrature displacement in the tines and maintained a balance in mass between the tines.

6. (Previously Amended) In a method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, the steps of: forming a pair of elongated tines which have front and rear surfaces and are disposed symmetrically about an axis, and adding mass elements to the front surface of one tine and the rear surface of the other tine to eliminate quadrature displacement in the tines and maintain a balance in mass between the tines.

7. (Previously Amended) In a method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, the steps of: forming a pair of elongated tines having free ends of increased lateral dimension with laterally offset balancing masses on opposite sides of the tines near the free ends, and adjusting the balancing masses on opposite sides of the two tines to reduce quadrature displacement in the tines and maintain a balance in mass between the tines.

8. (Previously Amended) The method of Claim 7 wherein the balancing masses are adjusted by removing substantially equal amounts of the balancing masses from the opposite sides of the tines.

9. (Currently Amended) A method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, comprising the steps of: forming a pair of elongated tines with free ends of increased lateral dimension ~~The method of Claim 7 wherein the tines are fabricated of a material which is transparent to a laser beam, forming laterally offset~~

balancing masses on opposite sides of the tines near the free ends, and trimming the balancing mass on one side of one of the tines is ~~trimmed~~ by passing the laser beam through the tine to the balancing mass.

10. (Previously Amended) The method of Claim 7 further including the step of removing substantially equal amounts of the balancing masses from same sides of the tines to adjust the drive mode frequency of the tuning fork.

11. (Previously Amended) In a method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, the steps of: forming an elongated pair of drive tines having front and rear surfaces, forming a pair of pickup tines having front and rear surfaces, applying balancing masses to the front and rear surfaces of the drive tines, and trimming the balancing masses on opposite sides of the drive tines to reduce quadrature displacement without affecting mass balance between the drive tines.

12. (Previously Amended) The method of Claim 11 further including the step of trimming the masses on same sides of the drive tines to adjust the drive mode frequency of the tuning fork.

13. (Original) The method of Claim 11 further including the steps of providing masses on the pickup tines, and trimming the masses on the pickup tines to adjust the pickup mode frequency of the tuning fork.

14. (Previously Amended) In a method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, the steps of: forming a pair of elongated tines which have front and rear surfaces and are disposed symmetrically about an axis, applying balancing masses to the front and rear surfaces of the tines, trimming the balancing masses if necessary to provide a balance in mass between the two tines, and thereafter removing substantially equal amounts of the balancing masses from the front surface of one of the tines and from the rear surface of the other tine to reduce quadrature displacement in the tines and maintain the balance in mass between tines.

15. (Previously Amended) The method of Claim 14 further including the step of removing substantially equal amounts of the balancing masses from same sides of the tines to adjust the drive mode frequency of the tuning fork.

16. (Previously Amended) In a method of manufacturing a tuning fork for use in an inertial rate sensor, the steps of: forming elongated pairs of drive and pickup tines which have front and rear surfaces and extend in opposite directions from a central body, applying balancing masses to the front and rear surfaces of the drive tines, trimming the

balancing masses if necessary to provide a balance in mass between the drive tines, and thereafter removing substantially equal amounts of the balancing masses from the front surface of one of the drive tines and from the rear surface of the other drive tine to reduce quadrature displacement in the drive tines and maintain the balance in mass between them.

17. (Previously Added) The method of Claim 16 further including the step of removing substantially equal amounts of the balancing masses from same sides of the drive tines to adjust the drive mode frequency of the tuning fork.

18. (Previously Added) The method of Claim 16 further including the steps of applying balancing masses to the pickup tines, and removing substantially equal amounts of the balancing masses from same sides of the pickup tines to adjust the pickup mode frequency of the tuning fork.